BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

1	REC'D	0 6 JA		JAN	2006		
١	WIPO				PCT		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416							
International application No. PCT/GB2004/002909	International filing date (day/month/year) 05.07.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.07.2003							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no A61K38/10, A61K38/17, A61P37/02	ational classification and IPC								
Applicant ABERDEEN UNIVERSITY et al.									
Authority under Article 35 and tra	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists of a total	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
3. This report is also accompanied I	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:								
■ M cont to the applicant and t	o the International Bureau) a total of 2	sheets, as follows:							
sheets of the descript and/or sheets contain	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which superse beyond the disclosure	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the								
4. This report contains indications	elating to the following items:								
	nii ili								
☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard to novelty,	rd to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
1 -									
M = N V Decembed sta	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1									
Box No. VII Certain defec									
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obser	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comp	pletion of this report							
04.05.2005	05.01.200	6							
Name and malling address of the internat preliminary examining authority:	onal Authorized C	Officer Spatial Palantan,							
European Patent Office	Merckling	-Ruiz, V							
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	3656 epmu d	No. +49 89 2399-8590							

ST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002909

	Вох	No. I	Basis	of the rep	ort	· · · · ·				·			
1.	With filed,	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it w filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								which it was			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:												
☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))													
□ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)□ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)													
2.	have	Vith regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this heport as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):											
	Description, Pages												
	1-15				as original	ly filed							
Claims, Numbers													
	8				received o	n 09.05.20	005 with	letter of	04.05.2	2005			
	1-7				filed with t	elefax on (05.10.20	05					
	Drav	vings,	Sheets										
	1-8				as origina	lly filed							
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing							sting				
3.		The a	mendme	nts have i	resulted in the	cancella	tion of:						
				tion, page	s								
☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify):													
					o sequence lis	sting <i>(spe</i>	ecify):						
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and lie had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indic Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).							sted below cated in the						
				tion, page	es								
			e claims,	Nos. js, sheets	fice								
☐ the sequence listing (specify):☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):													
	* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."							led."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002909

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-8

1-8

1-8

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WATKINS N.A. ET AL.: "HPA-1a phenotype-genotype discrepancy reveals a naturally occurring Arg93Gln substitution in the platelet beta-3 integrin that disrupts HPA-1a epitope." BLOOD, vol. 99, no. 5, 1 March 2002 (2002-03-01), pages 1833-1839, XP002304950
 - D2: WO 90/12593 A (BLOOD CENTER OF SOUTHEASTERN W) 1 November 1990 (1990-11-01)
 - D3: WO 90/00178 A (COR THERAPEUTICS INC) 11 January 1990 (1990-01-11)
 - D4: WO 93/12127 A (SCRIPPS RESEARCH INST) 24 June 1993 (1993-06-24)

Unless specified otherwise, the relevant passages are the ones that are cited in the Search Report.

Regarding point V

- Neither D2 nor any other available prior art document discloses the use of an immunologically effective platelet protein or peptide fragment for the manufacture of a medicament for preventing/managing conditions caused by exposure to an antithetical allele of a platelet, wherein said medicament is formulated for delivery through non-invasive routes. In D2, the use of such peptides is based on their ability to block passively pathogenic antibodies, so that the peptides should be administered to blood via a systemic route (see page 17 lines 15-19 of D2). Claims 1-5 and 7 are novel.
- 2.1 Claims 6 and 8 are novel because there is no document disclosing the same medical use of the same specific peptide sequences.
- 3. The technical problem solved by the present application is to provide a medicament for treating/preventing conditions elicited by exposure to an antithetical allele of a platelet,

by transfusion or by pregnancy.

The solution to this problem is allegedly the administation through non-invasive routes of "an immunologically effective pitelet protein" (or a peptide fragment thereof).

D4 shows that the same epitopes as in the present application (see Seq. No.5, 7 and 11 of D4, identical to Seq. No.2 of application, for example) can be used for eliciting antibody responses and even for manufacturing such antibodies. These peptides are shown to be "immunologically effective" in D4, since "immunologically effective" is not restricted to T cell response.

Even if neither D2 nor D4 suggest to administer these epitopes in a non-invasive manner, it should be emphasized that the only demonstrated technical effect of the present application is that the peptidic epitopes induce T cell proliferation in vitro. Inventive step can tehrefore not be acknowledged on the sole basis of a new route of administration since no administration route has been tested.

Moreover, it is submitted that not all embodiments of claim 1 exhibit the desired technical effect: the wording "immunologically effective platelet protein" defines a protein in terms of result to be achieved which does not allow the skilled person to determine which proteins or peptides are encompassed in this claim. The only peptides that were adequately disclosed and supported by the present application are proteins/peptides containing the P1^A determinant of GPIIIa. No technical effect has been demonstrated for any other peptides/proteins that fall under the scope of this very broad claim. In conclusion, claims 1-8 are not inventive.

- 16 -

Claims

- 5 1. Use of an immunologically effective platelet protein or a peptide fragment thereof in the manufacture for a medicament for the prevention or management of a condition caused by exposure to an antithetical allele of a platelet by transfusion or during pregnancy by tolerisation wherein the 10 medicament is formulated for delivery through non-invasive routes.
- Use according to claim 1 wherein the condition is fetomaternal alloimmune response thrombocytopenia (FMAIT),
 post-transfusion purpura or platelet refractoriness.
 - 3. Use according to either claim 1 or 2 wherein the platelet protein is a human platelet antigen (HPA).
- 20 4. Use according to claim 3 wherein the HPA is selected from HPA-1a, HPA-1b, HPA-2a, HPA-2b, HPA-3a, HPA-3b, HPA-4a, HPA-4b, HPA-5a, HPA-5b, HPA-6a, HPA-6b, HPA-7a, HPA-7b, HPA-8a, HPA-8b, HPA-9a, HPA-9b, HPA-10a, HPA-10b, HPA-11a, HPA-11b.
- 25 5. Use according to claim 4 wherein the HPA has a genotype HPA-1a.
 - 6. Use according to claim 5 wherein the HPA-la has sequence SEQ ID No:1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7.
 - 7. Use according to any preceding claim wherein the composition is formulated for delivery through mucosal tissue.

30

15-2005

- 17 -

8. Use as substantially hereinberefore described with reference to Figure 1 in the manufacture for a medicament for the prevention or management of a condition by tolerisation caused by exposure to an antithetical allele of a platelet by 5 transfusion or during pregnancy.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY